ORIGINAL

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

AE(CEIVED	
	17 396	

FF

	The state of the s		
In the Matter of)	Carrier Carrier	44
Telecommunications Services Inside Wiring)	CS Docket No. 95-184	
Customer Premises Equipment)	DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL	

REPLY COMMENTS

MCI Telecommunications Corporation and MCImetro (MCI) hereby reply to the initial comments filed in the above-captioned proceeding. MCI supports the adoption of rules to facilitate access by competitors to end user customers of telephony and video services. As some comments demonstrate, without such rules, end user customers will not realize the benefits of robust competition in communications markets, as promised by the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Demarcation Point

MCI agrees with those who support the implementation of rules to establish a uniform demarcation point for telephone and cable services so that competitors acquire easy and equal access to the interface with the customer. For new cable and telephone installations, the common demarcation point should be at the network interface unit (NIU). A common demarcation point at the NIU will lessen consumer confusion and encourage competition by reducing connection costs, which currently are a barrier to marketplace entry. The demarcation point for existing services should be at the NIU, if it exists, or within 12 inches of the customer's premise for single

No. of Copies rec'd _______

dwelling buildings. For multiple dwelling unit (MDU) buildings, the demarcation point should be the point at which wiring is dedicated to a single customer, which often is at a communications closet. The Commission should prohibit future loop-through video installations in MDU buildings because they prevent competition and customer choice.

MCI also supports DirecTV's position that the Commission should require the sharing of available bandwidth on a single broadband wire, if it is technically feasible to do so. A service provider should be able to use available bandwidth on existing broadband inside wiring because, as inside wire, use and control should be determined by the customer. Moreover, it makes the most efficient use of communications infrastructure, and it minimizes the cost and disruption to consumers in obtaining the competitive services they desire. To address the legitimate concern that such use could create service problems for cable companies by interfering with their signals, the Commission should find that a service provider who uses the available bandwidth on an existing broadband wire must resolve, and is liable for, any problems such use causes.

TECHNICAL STANDARDS FOR BROADBAND CONNECTIONS

Some parties argue that it is not necessary for the Commission to adopt technical requirements for standard connectors for broadband networks because the "F" connector has become the "de facto" industry standard. MCI, however, supports those who argue for Commission action because, without standards, and in the face of competition, providers could use proprietary hardware to create a barrier to competition. In addition, the "F" connector may not be the best choice for a standard because it is prone to failure. MCI recommends that the Commission adopt a standard which is developed in consultation with industry bodies. The standard also should be revised periodically to accommodate new technologies.

CUSTOMER CONTROL OVER INSIDE WIRE

MCI supports those who argue that the Commission should harmonize its rules concerning customer access to, and control of, inside wiring for telephony and broadband services, including cable and direct broadcast services. The right to access and own telephone inside wiring has benefitted consumers by providing them greater choice and lower rates. These same benefits should be provided to broadband subscribers. Thus, the Commission should adopt rules that provide for broadband subscribers to own their inside wiring after installation.

Broadband installers should be able to recover their costs through installation fees.

ACCESS TO PREMISES

It is clear from the comments and from current experience that, if competitors do not have the ability to access premises, consumers will not be able to realize the benefits of competition. With this in mind, MCI supports the adoption of rules to facilitate the ability of competitors to access premises. Specifically, the Commission should prohibit service providers from entering exclusive contracts for MDU buildings, and the incumbent service provider should be required to provide access to competitive service providers. In addition, service providers should have to pay no more to access a building than the actual cost incurred by the building owner in providing access. The Commission also should preempt state laws that grant exclusive use of rights-of-way to incumbent service providers.

OTHER ISSUES

MCI supports those who argue that the Commission's current cable signal leakage rules should be applied to all service providers. This is necessary to prevent any disruption or

interference by such services. MCI also supports those who argue that consumers should be able to connect their own CPE to their cable service as long as the equipment does not create a safety hazard or degrade the service of others.

Based on the foregoing, MCI requests the Commission to adopt rules as discussed herein.

Respectfully submitted,

MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION AND MCImetro

By:

Mary J. Sisak Donald J. Elardo

1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 887-2082 Its Attorneys

Dated: April 17, 1996

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Vernell V. Garey, do hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing "Reply Comments" was served on April 17, 1996, by first class mail, postage prepaid, upon the following:

Vernell V. Garey

*HAND-DELIVERED

International Transcriptions Service* 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 214 Washington, D.C. 20554

Lucille M. Mates
Sarah Rubenstein
Pacific Bell and Pacific Telesis
140 New Montgomery Street
Room 1522A
San Francisco, CA 94105

Margaret E. Garber Pacific Bell and Pacific Telesis 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Bruce A. Ramsey Kristin A. Ohlson Pacific Telesis Video Services 2410 Camino Ramon, Suite 100 San Ramon, CA 94583

Michael J. Karson, Esq. Ameritech Room FH88 2000 West Ameritech Center Drive Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025 Gary Phillips, Esq. Ameritech 1401 H Street, N.W., Room 1020 Washington, D.C. 20005

Stephen E. Coran
William J Andrle, jr.
Rini, Coran & Lancellotta, P.C.
Dupont Circle Building
1350 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20036
Attorneys for Multimedia Development
Corporation

Joseph S. Paykel Andrew Jay Schwartzman Media Access Project 2000 M Street, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20036

Deborah C. Costlow
Alan G. Fishel
Winston & Strawn
1400 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
Attorneys for Independent Cable &
Telecommunications Association

Rhonda L. Daniels
Senior Counsel
National Association of Home Builders
1201 Fifteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-2800

William D. Baskett III
Thomas E. Taylor
David S. Bence
Frost & Jacobs
2500 PNC Center
201 East Fifth Street
Cincinnati, OH 45201-5715
Attorneys for Cincinnati Bell
Telephone Company

John F. Raposa, HQE03J27 GTE Service Corporation P.O. Box 152092 Irving, TX 75015-2092

Gail L. Polivy GTE 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036

M. Robert Sutherland
Theodore R. Kingsley
BellSouth Corporation and
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
1155 Peachtree Street, N.W., Suite 1800
Atlanta, GA 30309-3610

Gregory L. Cannon U.S. West, Inc. 1020 19th Street, N.W., Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036 Mark C. Rosenblum Ava B. Kleinman Seth S. Gross AT&T Corp. 295 North Maple Avenue, Room 3245F3 Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Peter B. Keisler
David L. Lawson
1722 Eye Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
Attorneys for AT&T Corp.

Steven J. Cox
Senior Vice President
Business Affairs & General Counsel
DIRECTV, Inc.
2230 East Imperial Highway
El Segundo, CA 90245

Daniel L. Brenner
Loretta P. Polk
1724 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Counsel for the National Cable Television
Association, Inc.

Paul J. Sinderbrand
Robert D. Primosch
Wilkinson, Barker, Knuer & Quinn
1735 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
Attorneys for Wireless Cable Association
International, Inc.

Peter Arth, Jr.
Edward W. O'Neill
Mary Mack Adu
Attorneys for the People of the State of
California
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Stephen R. Effros

James H. Ewalt

Cable Telecommunications Association
3950 Chain Bridge Road

P.O. Box 1005

Fairfax, VA 22030-1005

Mary McDermott
Linda Kent
Charles D.Cosson
United StatesTelephone Association
1401 H Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 2005

Fiona Branton
Director of Government Relations,
Information Technology Industry Council
1250 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20005

James R. Hobson
Donelan, Cleary, Wood & Master, P.C.
1100 New York Avenue, N.W., Suite 750
Washington, D.C. 20005-3934
Attorneys for Building Industry Consulting
Service International

John Gage
President, BICSI
10300 University Center Drive
Suite 100
Tampa, FL 33612

Nicholas P. Miller
William Malone
Matthew C. Ames
MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND
STONE
1225 Nineteenth Street, N.W., Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036-2420

Michael H. Dworkin Senior Vice President and General Counsel Riser Management Systems, L.P. P.O. Box 1264 (Courier: 200 Church St.) Burlington, VT 05402

Randall Fisher, Esq.
John Glicksman, Esq.
Leslie Brown, Esq.
Adelphia Communications Group
5 West Third Street
Coudersport, PA 16915

Stephen R. Effros, President James H. Watt, Exec. VP Cable Telecommunications Association PO Box 1005 Fairfax, VA 22030 Richard L. Sharp
Chairman President and CEO
W. Stephen Cannon
Senior Vice President and General Counsel
Circuit City Stores, Inc.
9950 Maryland Drive
Richmond, VA 23233

Jeffrey A. Campbell
Manager, Federal Government Affairs
Compaq Computer Corporation
1300 I Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005

Howard B. Homonoff
Director, Corporate and Legal Affairs
Brenda Fox, Vice President
Continental Cablevision
Lewis Wharf, Pilot House
Boston, MA 02110

Peter H. Feinberg
Dow, Lohnes & Albertson
1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20036
Attorney for Cox Communications

Quincy Rogers, Vice President Government Affairs General Instrument Corporation 1133 21st Street, N.W., Suite 405 Washington, D.C. 20036 Herbert E. Marks
Brian J. McHugh
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
P.O. Box 407
Washington, D.C. 20044
Attorneys for The Independent Data
Communications Manufacturers Assoc.

Robert W. Taylor Director of Regulatory Affairs Interactive Cable Systems, Inc. & ActiveTel 520 W. Arapaho Richardson, TX 75080

W. James MacNaughton Liberty Cable Company, Inc. 90 Woodbridge Center Drive Suite 610 Woodbridge, NJ 07095

Paul Gist
Robert G. Scott, Jr.
T. Scott Thompson
Cole, Raywid & Braverman, L.L.P.
1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20006

Dom Prezzano
Senior Vice President
Metropolitan Life Insurance Company
Corporate Property Management
One Madison Avenue
NewYork, NY 10010-3690

Andrew D. Lipman
Mark Sievers
Swidler & Berlin, Chartered
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007
Attorneys for MFS Communications
Company., Inc.

Henry Goldberg
W. Kenneth Ferree
Goldberg, Godles, Wiener & Wright
1229 Nineteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Attorneys for MultiTechnologies,
Optel, Inc.

Michael D. Kerr Senior Vice President General Manager Equipment Division Siecor Corporation 800 17th Street, N.W. Hickory, C 28601-3336

John W. Pettit Richard J. Arsenault Drinker Biddle & Reath 901 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20005 Attorneys for Tandy Corp.

Michael H. Hammer
Francis M. Buono
Wilkie Farr & Gallagher
Three Lafayette Centre
1155 21st Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Attorneys for Tele-Communications, Inc.

Ronald Angner, Chair TIA User Premises Equipment Division 2500 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22201

Aaron I. Fleischman Fleischman and Walsh, L.L.P. 1400 Sixteenth Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20554 Attorney for Time Warner Cable

Mark J. Palchick Thomas B. Magee Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease 1828 L Street, N.W., Eleventh Floor Washington, D.C. 20036-5104 Attorneys for TKR Cable Company